Choosing Your SIR It's More Than A Number

presented by

Marcus Beverly FVP, Alliant Insurance Services

and

Alliant

Mike Harrington President and Principal, Bickmore Actuarial



Bickmore

Actuarial

PARMA Conference 2021





Purpose

Outline the objective and subjective criteria used to evaluate an appropriate Self-Insured Retention (SIR) or *retained risk* for your agency.



Outline



Risk Financing Objectives Objective Criteria – "the numbers" Subjective Criteria – "the gut feeling" Putting them all together • Case studies – *In the LIVE Q&A*

From there it's a *crapshoot!*



To Answer Your Question



There is no single "correct" answer to the SIR question...

...but we will present some guidelines to help you come to a "reasonable" answer



NOTE - <u>ALL Risk Analysis</u> Has Objective and Subjective Components!

RISK= Hazard + Outrage*

Objective and Subjective Components

*Peter Sandman: www.psandman.com



Risk =

Spider +/-*Your* Reaction to it



Objective v. Subjective Probability



Objective Probability is the likelihood of the outcome of any event based upon recorded measurements rather than subjective analysis.

Subjective Probability comes from our personal judgment of an event occurring, using our beliefs, hunches, gut feelings, instincts, and anecdotal evidence.

Subjective probability varies from person to person. Objective probability does not.

However, in either case – subjective or objective probability – <u>the calculation is just a guide</u>.

Neither one can tell us with certainty what the outcome will be.

No one is an expert on the future!

Common Risk Financing Objectives



Money to pay the claims!



Stability – *avoid swings* in funding or assets

Efficiency – *lower cost of risk* than insurance

Services – *choice of* risk control, claims, admin, other

Compliance - regulatory or business/contractual needs



Objective Criteria "The Numbers"



- Liabilities (Ultimate Losses)
- Net Position/Assets/Surplus
- Funding Benchmarks
- Financial Ratios
- Claims
 - Stratification
 - Simulation



Balance Sheet (Statement of Net Position or Net Assets)





At Least Cover Your 'Expected" Liabilities



With any sizeable SIR or accumulation of long-tail liabilities your financial auditors will expect you to have reserves at least equal to "Expected" Liabilities (actuary estimate at @ 50% Confidence Level).

If you have sufficient cash flow, "pay as you go" is the least expensive risk financing option but usually the least practical, mainly due to additional *funds needed to absorb variance* in losses.



Variance...

When you see it online vs When it arrives in the mail



True 😂 😂 😂

"Life is what happens to you while you're busy making other plans". - Allen Saunders Loss estimates are inherently variable
Confidence Level is a statistical measure
Varies by coverage

Excess Liability – very variable
WC – indemnity less variable than medical part
Auto Liability – generally more stable

The greater the SIR, the greater the potential for variability

Funding Benchmarks

Outstanding Liabilities

- "Must have" "Expected" @50% Confidence Level
- "Should have" 70% 90% CL
- "Nice to have" above 80%-90% CL = Leverage

Yearly Funding

- At least "Expected", goal of 80% or higher
- OL Above or below 90% = dividend or assessment ("retro")

Discount Factors in Line

With Investment Policy & Returns?





Surplus is Key Measure



Assets minus Liabilities = Surplus Surplus a.k.a.: • Net assets

- Net position
- Retained earnings

Reserves in excess of Expected Liabilities



Uses of Surplus



Absorb adverse/catastrophic development Rate/funding stabilization Increase SIR Expand coverage Excess coverage "failures" • even 36 years later!



Key Ratios Used to Assess Surplus

Focus on 3 Risk Exposures: Losses, Reserves & Pricing

- 1. Surplus to SIR
- 2. Liabilities (Loss Reserves) to Surplus
- 3. Premium (Annual Funding) to Surplus





How Many "Hits" Can You Take? Surplus to SIR



Measures exposure to large <u>losses</u> High Ratio Desirable, > 5:1 Varies based on SIR level



How Good is Your Actuary? Liabilities to Surplus



Measures **exposure to** <u>reserving</u> errors Low ratio desirable, < 1.5:1



How Good Is Your Underwriter? <u>"Premium" to Surplus</u>



Measures **exposure to** <u>pricing</u> errors. A *low ratio* is desirable, < 1:1



What Are the Trends?



Surplus: No more than 10% decrease

Reserves: No more than 20% increase

Premium: No more than 10% increase

p.s. One year's result is not a trend!



Claims Stratification



- Provides insights into magnitude and distribution of claims
- Facilitates alternative SIR analysis
- Focus on the big \$ claims
- Use to set up loss control metrics





Stratified Losses – histogram, used to





Monte Carlo Simulation



Select number of claims



For each claim, select size

Use claim stratification information to simulate claims process

- 1. Number of claims (frequency)
- 2. Average claim size (severity)



Monte Carlo Simulation Number of Claims





Monte Carlo Simulation Claim Size



24



Monte Carlo Simulation Example – Simulated Unlimited Claims

Simulation	Number	Unlimited Claim Amount for Claim #						Aggregate
Number	of Claims	1	2	3	4	5	6	Losses
1	5	\$9,000	\$12,000	\$3,000	\$4,000	\$11,000		\$39,000
2	3	7,000	3,000	11,000				21,000
3	4	3,000	6,000	4,000	11,000			24,000
4	2	13,000	12,000					25,000
5	5	4,000	8,000	12,000	4,000	4,000		32,000
9,999	2	9,000	9,000					18,000
10,000	3	10,000	8,000	13,000				31,000
Average	3.5							\$25,000



Monte Carlo Simulation Example – Ranked Simulated Claims

Simulation Number	Aggregate Losses	Rank	Aggregate Losses	Percentile	Confidence Level Factor	
1	\$8,401,712	1	\$1,020,320			
2	1,497,651	2	1,024,065			
3	3,516,291	3	1,029,627			
4	1,797,246	/		\$5	5.35M / \$4.3M	1 =
5	2,870,778	5,000	4,025,944			•
6	4,187,925					
7	1,029,627	7,000	5,353,140	70%	1.25	
		•••				
9,999	1,954,018	9,000	8,849,910	90%	2.06	
10,000	2,509,543	10,000	155,734,676			
Average	\$4,293,260	Average	\$4,293,260	\$8.8M / \$	54.3M =	



Monte Carlo Simulation Example – Confidence Level



Aggregate Losses



Monte Carlo Simulation Example – Simulated Limited Claims

Simulation	Number		Unlimite	d Claim Amo	ount for Clai	m #		Aggregate
Number of Cla	of Claims	1	2	3	4	5	6	Losses
1	5	\$9,000	\$10,000	\$3,000	\$4,000	\$10,000		\$36,000
2	3	7,000	3,000	10,000				20,000
3	4	3,000	6,000	4,000	10,000			23,000
4	2	10,000	10,000					20,000
5	5	4,000	8,000	10,000	4,000	4,000		30,000
9,999	2	9,000	9,000					18,000
10,000	3	10,000	8,000	10,000				28,000
Average	3.5							\$23,000



Monte Carlo Simulation Example – Ranked Limited Claims

				-	
Limited to \$250,000 per Claim		oer Unlimited			
Rank	Aggregate Losses	Rank	Aggregate Losses		Increased Limits Factor
1	\$1,020,320	1	\$1,020,320		
2	1,024,065	2	1,024,065		
3	1,029,627	3	1,029,627		
4	1,049,633	4	1,049,633		
5	1,093,764	5	1,093,764		
9,999	4,124,779	9,999	107,523,846		\$4.3IVI / \$2.4IVI =
10,000	4,381,136	10,000	155,734,676		
Average	\$2,370,449	Average	\$4,293,260		1.81



Monte Carlo Simulation Example – Alternative SIRs





So Which SIR Do We Pick?

You have \$3,500,000 in surplus.

				5 Gi I	oras negarieri	lento
<u>SIR</u>	<u>Losses</u>	<u>Premiums</u>	<u>Reserves</u>	<u>SIR 5:1</u>	<u>Prem 1:1</u>	<u>Resv 1.5:1</u>
150,000	1,934,000	2,321,000	4,642,000	750,000	2,321,000	3,094,667
250,000	2,370,000	2,844,000	5,688,000	1,250,000	2,844,000	<mark>3,792,000</mark>
350,000	2,671,000	3,205,000	6,410,000	1,750,000	<mark>3,205,000</mark>	4,273,333
500,000	2,938,000	3,526,000	7,052,000	2,500,000	<mark>3,526,000</mark>	4,701,333
1,000,000	3,339,000	4,007,000	8,014,000	5,000,000	4,007,000	5,342,667

Surplus Requirements

Numbers Indicate: \$150K passes all three tests \$250K passes two tests, close on third \$350K passes two tests, not so close on third \$500K and up look too high



Cost / Benefit Analysis

Compare Total Cost of Retained Losses and Excess Insurance.

Colf Incurred Detention (CID)

	Sell-Insured Retention (SIR)					
	150,000	250,000	350,000			
Retained Losses	1,934,000	2,370,000	2,671,000			
Excess Insurance	2,750,000	2,200,000	1,750,000			
Total Cost	4,684,000	4,570,000	4,421,000			
Savings at Higher SIR		(114,000)	(263,000)			
		-2.4%	-5.6%			

Higher SIRs are expected to cost less, but... "Do you feel lucky??"



Subjective Criteria "The Gut Feeling"



"Appetite" Claims Exposures Coverage Finances Projections

Trends of above criteria



ID Your **Philosophy** What is your Risk "Appetite"?



Most Public Agencies are conservative by nature and by regulation, including in their investment choices, and that limits their risk-taking ability.

But taking on too little risk in the long term is more expensive and not a good use of public funds.

Finding the right balance, or appetite, for risk is as much art (gut) as science (numbers).



Claims



Losses above SIR

Nature & scope of individual claims Major causes of loss Predictability Reserve changes Reserving practices Administration "Social Inflation"

Trends of above



Exposures



New/emerging

Existing and unknown

Claims v. other agencies/companies

Legislation

Case law

"But there are also unknown unknowns—the ones we don't know we don't know." - Donald Rumsfeld



Coverage



Change in coverage Change in self-insured retention level Change in excess insurers Industry rating of excess insurers Total limits, aggregates, stop-loss Reputation of and relationship with excess *insurers*

• Layered programs now necessary



Market Conditions

Hard Market Tougher Underwriting Reduced Capacity Higher Premiums Restricted Coverage

Soft Market

Easier Underwriting Increased Capacity Lower Premiums Broader Coverage

Raise SIR?

HARD

Lower Limit?

Less Coverage?

Less Service?

Shorter Term?

SOFT Lower SIR? Higher Limit? More Coverage More Service? Longer Term?

If you are not evaluating your SIR regularly you are missing out on taking advantage of market cycles!



Finances



Financial objectives & strategies Financial position as compared to benchmarks **Stability of funding, cash flow** Premiums/funding levels currently Interest Income

Trends of above



Projections



Actuary projections

Do the numbers make common sense?

• Do they line up with your financials?

How compare to insurance/other options?

Number of years to fully fund the various SIRs
 Change in actuary?
 Confidence levels

Full extent of actual ultimate liabilities known?

Will the future look like the past?



Risk Pool Membership



Attitude & Ability re Assessments/Retros How are their ratios & benchmarks? Size – numbers and trends SIR – size and type(s) Excess insurance stability Board engaged, experienced, get along Common Vision? Long-term commitment?



Other Factors



Strategic Objectives

Change in administration/programs/staff;

Political

Services desired/needed

Money

Others?



When In Doubt <u>Run it through your mission statement</u>



What's Your Objective?

Stable, long-term funding of losses



And in the end ...

It's a crapshoot!



Please join us for the live Q&A after this session for case studies and your chance to roll the dice and be a hero!



Summary – "The Numbers"

Losses are inherently variable

Variability cushioned by surplus

Set target financial measures:

• Reserves, premium and SIR to Surplus

Understand and apply ratios judiciously

Review plan periodically:

- Details change
 (e.g., SIRs, membership, etc.)
- Compare to peer groups



Summary – "The Gut"



Numbers aren't the entire picture
What are your goals? Mission?
Does it make sense?
Manage expectations
Get buy-in from others
Put it all together and have a reason for your decision

Roll the dice and hope for the best!



In the end,



Do what you feel in your heart to be rightfor you'll be criticized anyway. -Eleanor Roosevelt

Just have your "reasoning" ready for the critics!

Questions & Case Studies Next!



THANK YOU!

<u>marcus.beverly@alliant.com</u> <u>mharrington@bickmoreactuarial.net</u>





Choosing Your SIR LIVE Session Questions & Case Studies



THANK YOU!

marcus.beverly@alliant.com mharrington@bickmoreactuarial.net





Case Studies

Review the numbers and subjective criteria and make decision Roll the die!

See how your decision plays out under three scenarios

Case Study 1 - Work Comp Let's Revisit Mike's Scenario



Small entity with \$150K SIR *Considering increasing SIR* Conservative Reserve Funding (>90% CL) Losses fluctuate +/- 10% to 40% per year Average 24 claims per year



So Which SIR Do We Pick?

You have \$3,500,000 in surplus.

<u>SIR</u>	Losses	<u>Premiums</u>	<u>Reserves</u>	<u>SIR 5:1</u>	<u>Prem 1:1</u>	<u>Resv 1.5:1</u>
150,000	1,934,000	2,321,000	4,642,000	750,000	<mark>2,321,000</mark>	3,094,667
250,000	2,370,000	2,844,000	5,688,000	<mark>1,250,000</mark>	2,844,000	<mark>3,792,000</mark>
350,000	2,671,000	3,205,000	6,410,000	1,750,000	3,205,000	4,273,333
500,000	2,938,000	3,526,000	7,052,000	<mark>2,500,000</mark>	<mark>3,526,000</mark>	4,701,333
1,000,000	3,339,000	4,007,000	8,014,000	5,000,000	4,007,000	5,342,667

Surplus Requirements

Numbers Indicate: \$150K passes all three tests \$250K passes two tests, close on third \$350K passes two tests, not so close on third \$500K and up look too high



Cost / Benefit Analysis

Compare Total Cost of Retained Losses and Excess Insurance.

Self-Insured Retention (SIR)

	150,000	250,000	350,000
Retained Losses	1,934,000	2,370,000	2,671,000
Excess Insurance	2,750,000	2,200,000	1,750,000
Total Cost	4,684,000	4,570,000	4,421,000
Savings at Higher SIR		(114,000)	(263,000)
		-2.4%	-5.6%

How many years will it take to fund the increased risk with the savings?

Higher SIRs are expected to cost less, but... "Do you feel lucky??"

Subjective/Other Factors



- Sharing risk in excess pool
 - Legislative reforms increasing TD rates
- Desire for more risk control services
- Pressure to raid surplus for general fund
- Conservative funding philosophy
- Maintain potential to raise SIR if needed
- Frequency down, severity creeping up
- Market is unsettled to increasing

What SIR Do You Choose?



Say at \$150K?

Go to \$350K?

Now ... let's roll the die!



Results

Optimistic - total losses less than expected - you're a "Hero!"

- \$150k SIR = + \$170k
- \$350k SIR = + \$210k

Expected – total losses about what's expected – your actuary's a "Genius"

- \$150k SIR = + \$10k
- \$350k SIR = + \$50k

Pessimistic – total losses way more than expected – start circulating resume

- \$150k SIR = (-\$180k)
- \$350k SIR = (-\$480K)

Case Study 2 – General Liability



- Medium-sized entity
- > \$1 Million GL SIR since 2015, was \$500K
- Never had a claim over \$500K
- Had 3 claims over \$1M since raising SIR
- Conservative, with assets > 90%CL, though they are projected to disappear
- Considering going back to \$500K SIR

Recent Trends



- Losses were trending down with more focus on risk management
- RM training and funds cut in recent years
- Recent >\$1MM losses = 2 EPL & 1 MVA
- EPL related to budget cuts, morale low
- EPL defense costs > \$500k per claim
- Budget is stabilizing after years of cuts, want to restore RM funding

Other Factors



- Political pressure to reduce EPL and overall "bleeding" from big losses
- Excess Pool recent member disputes over coverage, net assets declining
- Insurance Market is hardening

Lower SIR from \$1 mil to \$500,000?

Liability Program Balance Sheet

Liability Program	Actual	Projected	Difference	Change
Balance Sheet Comparison	6/30/2020	6/30/2021	2020 to 21	2020 to 21
Assets/GL Reserves	\$26,000,000	\$22,000,000	(\$4,000,000)	-15%
Less				
Liabilities at "Expected" CL	\$17,000,000	\$18,000,000	\$1,000,000	6%
Equals				
Net GL Assets/Surplus	\$9,000,000	\$4,000,000	(\$5,000,000)	-56%

Liability Benchmarks

	Actual	Projected
Benchmarks (Min & Goal Ratio)	6/30/2020	6/30/2021
Net Assets/\$1mil SIR (5-10:1)	9	4
Net Assets/\$500K SIR (5-10:1)	18	8
Liabilities to Net Assets < 1.5:1, Goal 1:1 or less	1.88	4.5
Net Premium to Net Assets < 1:1, Goal 0.5:1 or less	0.17	0.20

Liability Funding Options

Future Loss Funding	2020-21	2021-22	Difference 21 to 22	% Change	
Loss Funding \$1M SIR (80% CL)	\$3,500,000	\$4,000,000	\$500,000	14%	
Excess Coverage \$9M	\$800,000	\$950,000	\$150,000	19%	
Total Funding \$1M SIR	\$4,300,000	\$4,950,000	\$650,000	15%	
\$500K SIR Options			Difference From \$1M SIR		Years to fund
Loss Funding \$500K SIR (80% CL)	n/a	\$3,750,000	(\$250,000)	-6%	2.00
Excess Coverage \$9.5M	n/a	\$1,350,000	\$400,000	42%	1.25
Total Funding \$500K		\$5,100,000	\$150,000	-7%	n/a

What Do You Choose?

SIR: \$1 Mil or \$500K?

Now ... let's roll the die!



Results



Optimistic – <u>Losses = \$2.0 Mil</u> "Hero"!

- \$1 Mil SIR = + \$2 Mil
- \$500K SIR = + \$1.75 Mil

Expected – <u>Losses = \$3.8 Mil</u> "Employed"

- \$1 Mil SIR = + \$200,000
- \$500K SIR = **\$50K**

Pessimistic – Losses = \$7.0 Mil "It depends"

- \$1 Mil SIR = \$1 Mil (\$5 mil capped)
- \$500K SIR = + \$750,000 (\$3 mil capped)

With one "Limit" loss on the excess, no matter the limit!

Choosing Your SIR LIVE Session Questions & Case Studies



THANK YOU!

marcus.beverly@alliant.com mharrington@bickmoreactuarial.net



